

The 7th October, 1982

No. ID/CHD/17/82/45131—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Baljit Singh Dahiya and the management of M/s Haryana State Social Advisory Board, Chandigarh regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Baljit Singh Dahiya was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/102/82/46138—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Suman Kumar and the management of M/s Managing Director, Haryana State Co-operative Supply and Marketing Federation Ltd, Chandigarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication :

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated the 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matters in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Suman Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/88/82/46145—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Laxmi Narain and the management of M/s Bhart Udyog, Kassar, Bahadurgarh regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Laxmi Narain was justified and in order? If not to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department.

The 30th September, 1982

No. ID/FD/98/82/44927—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Gurcharan Singh Bhatta Company, village and post office Asawati, Palwal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter(s) specified below being either matter(s) in dispute or matter(s) relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for the adjudication :—

1. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus @ 20% for the year 1978-79, 1979-80 and 1980-81? If so, with what details?
2. Whether every workmen should be supplied gur @ 5 K. G. per month? If so, with what details?

No. ID/FD/101/82/44941.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Vijinder Jain Bhatta Company, Ferozepur Palwal District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters (s) specified below, being either matter (s) in dispute or matter (s) relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

1. Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus for the year, 1978-79, 1979-80, 1980-81 @ 20%? If so, with what details?
2. Whether every workmen should be supplied gur @ 5 K. G. per month? If so, with what details?

No. ID/FD/103/82/44948.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Rakesh Bricks Bhatta Comany Bhulwana, Hodal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter (s) specified below, being either matter (s) in dispute or matter (s) relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus for the years 1978-79, 1979-80 and 1980-81 @ 20%? If so, with what details?

2. Whether every workmen should be supplied gur @ 5 K. G. per month? If so, with what details?

H. L. GUGNANI,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour and Employment Departments.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 27th August, 1982

No. 11(86)-79-4Lab.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that public interest requires that the Chemical Fertilizer Industry in the State of Haryana being an Industry specified in the first Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), be declared as public utility service for the purpose of the said Act.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, hereby declares "Chemical Fertilizer Industry" in the State of Haryana to be public utility service for the purpose of the said Act for a period of six months from the date of publication of this notification in the official Gazette.